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Abstract: Cloud computing provides massive computation power and storage capacity which enable users to deploy computation and data-intensive applications without infrastructure investment. Along the processing of such applications, a large volume of intermediate data sets will be generated, and often stored to save the cost of recompiling them. However, preserving the privacy of intermediate data sets becomes a challenging problem because adversaries may recover privacy-sensitive information by analyzing multiple intermediate data sets. Encrypting ALL data sets in cloud is widely adopted in existing approaches to address this challenge. But we argue that encrypting all intermediate data sets are neither efficient nor cost-effective because it is very time consuming and costly for data-intensive applications to en/decrypt data sets frequently while performing any operation on them. In this paper, we propose a novel upper bound privacy leakage constraint-based approach to identify which intermediate data sets need to be encrypted and which do not, so that privacy-preserving cost can be saved while the privacy requirements of data holders can still be satisfied. Evaluation results demonstrate that the privacy-preserving cost of intermediate data sets can be significantly reduced with our approach over existing ones where all data sets are encrypted.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Cloud computing [1] should provide massive computation power and storage space for the users. The users can use these resources in pay as you go manner [2], instead of buying the required hard-disk or processors for their business. Because of this the business persons can reduce their investment cost and concentrate on their business development. Due to this so many users are very interested to use this cloud computing technology. But some of the users are very hesitant to store their data into the cloud according to security. So to provide security [3] for the data we are encrypting the entire data and allowing only authenticated users. At the time of executing any data intensive applications some intermediate datasets [4] or resultant data sets are generated, these are stored in the cloud for future purpose, instead of re-computing each and every whenever they need.

If any adversary should access these datasets then there is a chance of analyzing the information, so we need to provide privacy for these datasets. For providing security in the existing technologies we are encrypting all the intermediate datasets. But the computations are performed only on the readable data, so to perform any operations each and every time we need to decrypt the data set, perform the computation and then encrypt and store the dataset. For this purpose we need some extra storage space and also it is time consuming. There is a technology homomorphic encryption [5] by using theoretically proved not implemented practically.

For some data mining or analysis areas there is a need of revealing some aggregate information to the public. Publishing some data by satisfying the privacy requirements of data holders can be done by Anonymization [6]. Anonymization is one of the privacy techniques like encryption. For a single dataset there is privacy, but multiple datasets are not secure. So, in our proposed system to provide privacy for multiple datasets we are using both Anonymization and encryption technologies. In the proposed system constructing a Sensitive Intermediate Dataset Tree (SIT) based on generation relationship among the intermediate datasets and finding privacy leakage for each and every intermediate dataset and then by using heuristic method we can identify which intermediate dataset we need to encrypt and find the minimum privacy preserving cost. Based on this we can prove that comparing with existing technologies our proposed system should reduce this privacy preserving cost.

II. RELATED WORK

This work provides the various approaches for privacy preserving in cloud computing. Encryption is the technique to preserve the privacy of data. Storing data in a third party’s cloud system causes serious concern on data confidentiality. In order to provide strong confidentiality for messages in storage servers, user can encrypt data by cryptographic method. Encrypting all the data sets, a straight forward and effective approach is widely adopted in [1], [2], [3]. However, processing on encrypted data sets efficiently is quite a challenging task, because most existing applications only run on unencrypted data sets. Although recent progress has been made in homomorphic encryption which theoretically allows performing computation on encrypted data.
sets, applying current algorithms are rather expensive due to their inefficiency [4].

Anonymization based approach [5] proposes the anonymity algorithm that processes the data and anonymousness all or some information before releasing it in the cloud. When required, the cloud service provider makes use of the background knowledge it has and incorporates the details with the anonymous data to mine the needed knowledge. This approach differs from the traditional cryptography technology for preserving user’s privacy as it gets rid of key management and thus it stands simple and flexible. While anonymizing is easier, the attributes that has to be made anonymous varies and it depends on the cloud service provider. This approach will be suitable only for limited number of services. Thus, the method has to be bettered by automating the anonymization.

Airavat [6] is a Map Reduce-based system which provides strong security and privacy guarantees for distributed computations on sensitive data. Airavat is a novel integration of mandatory access control and differential privacy. It enables many privacy-preserving Map Reduce computations without the need to audit untrusted code. Its objective is to prevent information leakage beyond the data provider’s policy. But Airavat cannot confine every computation performed by untrusted code.

Silverline [7] is a set of tools that automatically identifies all functionally encryptable data in a cloud application, assigns encryption keys to specific data subsets to minimize key management complexity while ensuring robustness to key Compromise, and provides transparent data access at the user device while preventing key compromise even from malicious clouds. Silver line provides a substantial first step towards simplifying the complex process of incorporating data confidentiality into these storage-intensive cloud applications. Its aim is to improve the confidentiality of application data stored on third-party computing clouds. But there are several disadvantages of Silver line. Not all data on the cloud is encrypted. Cloud can learn some metadata. Executing inequality comparisons on encrypted cells fail. Data encrypted with a single key that is shared with all the registered users in an application are vulnerable to a variety of attacks by the cloud.

Sedic [8] provides a solution to the privacy threat that is to split a task, keeping the computation on the private data within an organization’s private cloud while moving the rest to the public commercial cloud. Sedic leverages the special features of MapReduce to automatically partition a computing job according to the security levels of the data it works on, and arrange the computation across a hybrid cloud. MapReduce’s distributed file system is modified to strategically replicate data, moving sanitized data blocks to the public cloud. Over this data placement, map tasks are carefully scheduled to outsource as much workload to the public cloud as possible, given sensitive data always stay on the private cloud.

Sedic is designed to protect data privacy during map-reduce operations, when the data involved contains both public and private records. This protection is achieved by ensuring that the sensitive information within the input data, intermediate outputs and final results will never be exposed to untrusted nodes during the computation. It involves overhead of transferring data between private and public cloud.
Encryption and fragmentation approach [9] couples encryption together with data fragmentation. Encryption will be applied only when explicitly demanded by the privacy requirements. Privacy requirements are enforced by splitting information over two independent database servers in order to break associations of sensitive information and by encrypting information whenever necessary. The information to be protected is first split into different fragments in such a way to break the sensitive associations represented through confidentiality constraints and to minimize the amount of information represented only in encrypted format. The resulting fragments may be stored at the same server or at different servers. Finally, the encryption key is given to the authorized users needing to access the information. Users that do not know the encryption key as well as the storing server(s) are able neither to access sensitive information nor to reconstruct the sensitive associations. But the protection of fragmented data when the information stored in the fragments may change over time is difficult.

III. PROPOSED SYSTEM

In this section we are finding the effective privacy preserving cost of intermediate datasets in the cloud by using the SIT, privacy representation and construction of compressed tree, minimum privacy preserving cost and heuristic method as follows.

1) Process Original data set:

The data holder will store the data into cloud after encryption. The original dataset is encrypted for confidentiality. The data users have to register themselves by giving the username and password. Then only they can able to decrypt the data that the data holder has stored in cloud. DES algorithm is used for encryption. Only the authenticated users can process the dataset. Storage and computation services in cloud are equivalent from an economical perspective because they are charged in proportion to their usage. Thus cloud customers can store valuable intermediate data sets selectively when processing original data sets in data intensive applications, in order to curtail the overall expenses by avoiding frequent recompiations to obtain these data sets.

2) Privacy leakage quantification:

The privacy sensitive information is generally regarded as the association between sensitive data and individuals. Privacy leakage of the intermediate data set is quantified. And a threshold value is given by the data holder. Threshold value should not exceed the maximum privacy leakage of the single data set. If the privacy leakage threshold is minimum more data sets need to be encrypted. If it is maximum more data sets may remain unencrypted. The sum of the privacy leakage of the unencrypted data sets should not exceed the threshold value given by the data holder.

\[ PL(d^*) = H(S,Q) - H^*(S,Q) \]

Where \( H(S,Q) = \log(|QI| \cdot |SD|) \) and

\[ H^*(S,Q) = - \sum_{q \in QI, s \in SA} p(s,q) \log(p(s,q)) \]

3) Privacy Leakage Constraint

Decomposition:
The privacy leakage constraint is decomposed into different layers. So there is different threshold value for each layer. The privacy leakage incurred by the unencrypted data set in the layer can never be larger than the threshold value in that layer. A local encryption solution in the layer is feasible if it satisfies the privacy leakage constraint. A set of feasible solutions exists in a layer which constitutes global solution. A compressed tree is created from layer 1 to \( H \) where \( H \) is the height of the tree.

The construction is achieved via three steps.

1. The data sets in \( ED_i \) are compressed into one encrypted node.
2. All offspring data sets of the data sets in \( UD_i \) are omitted.
3. The data sets in \( UD_i \) are compressed into one node.

\[
\sum_{d \in UD_i} PL_s(d) \leq \varepsilon_i, 1 \leq i \leq H
\]

The threshold \( \varepsilon_i, 1 \leq i \leq H \), is calculated by

\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_i &= \varepsilon_{i-1} - \sum_{d \in UD_{i-1}} PL_s(d) \\
\varepsilon_1 &= \varepsilon
\end{align*}
\]

4) Cost Calculation:

Cost of storing the intermediate data set is calculated by the size of the intermediate data set, frequency of accessing that data set and the price set up by cloud service vendors. If the frequency of accessing the intermediate data set is larger then more cost will be incurred if the intermediate data set is encrypted.

The privacy preserving cost rate is denoted as

\[
CR_{pp} = \sum_{d \in D_{enc}} S_i \cdot PR \cdot f_i
\]

Where \( S_i \) is the size of the intermediate data set, \( f_i \) is the frequency of accessing the stored intermediate data set, and \( PR \) is the price for encryption and decryption. The cost of privacy preserving should be minimum in order to get the optimal result. Data holder will give privacy requirements that is the privacy leakage threshold allowed by a data holder, the privacy leakage caused by the unencrypted data sets should be under a given threshold.

\[
PL_m(D_{une}) \leq \varepsilon, D_{une} \in D.
\]

Where \( PL_m(D_{une}) \) is the privacy leakage of the multiple data sets and \( (D_{une}) \) is the unencrypted data sets.

5) Cost Effective Solution:

Usually, more than one feasible global encryption solution exists under the PLC, because there are many alternative local solutions in each layer. Further, each intermediate data set has various size and frequency of usage, leading to different overall cost with different solutions. Therefore it is desired to find a feasible solution with minimum privacy-preserving cost under privacy leakage constraints. Heuristic approach is used to reduce privacy-preserving cost. It prefers to encrypt the data sets which incur less cost but disclose more privacy sensitive information. Data sets with higher privacy-preserving cost and lower privacy leakage are expected to remain unencrypted. Thus cost is reduced
in this technique instead of encrypting all data sets.

IV. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION AND RESULTS

Data holders store their data into cloud. Only the authenticated users can decrypt and download the data. While processing the data intermediated data sets are generated. Privacy leakage of the intermediate data sets is calculated. Based on the privacy requirement of the data holder intermediate data sets are encrypted selectively. Cost of encrypting the data sets is also calculated. The data set which incurs less cost for encryption and leaks more privacy is selected for encryption and others remain unencrypted. The privacy leakage of the unencrypted data set is lesser than the threshold value given by the data holder. When adversary sees the data set he cannot infer any information from them.
V. CONCLUSIONS

The privacy preserving cost of intermediate datasets in cloud can be reduced over existing approaches instead of encrypting all the intermediate datasets by encrypting only part of intermediate datasets in our approach by using SIT, compressed tree and heuristic algorithms. The problem of saving privacy-preserving cost as a constrained optimization problem which is addressed by decomposing the privacy leakage constraints has been modeled. A practical heuristic algorithm has been designed accordingly. Evaluation results on real-world data sets and larger extensive data sets have demonstrated the cost of preserving privacy in cloud can be reduced significantly with this approach over existing ones where all data sets are encrypted.
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