Analysis and Implementation of Parametric model of a Piston rod
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Abstract: Connecting rod is the power transmission element which is used to transfer power form piston to the crank shaft in Internal Combustion Engine (IC Engine). This paper describes modeling and evaluation of connecting rod. In this task connecting rod is changed with the assistance of Aluminum strengthened with Boron carbide for Suzuki GS150R motorbike. A second drawing is drafted from the calculations. A parametric model of connecting rod is modeled making use of professional-E5.0 software. Analysis is carried out by way of utilizing ANSYS software. Finite element analysis of connecting rod is carried out by using considering two substances, viz. Aluminum reinforced with Boron Carbide and Aluminum 360. The first-rate combo of parameters like Von misses stress and pressure, Deformation, aspect of defense and weight discount for two wheeler piston have been performed in ANSYS software. Compared to carbon steel, aluminum boron carbide and aluminum 360, aluminum boron carbide is found to have working element of security is nearer to theoretical component of security, 33.17% to shrink the load, to expand the stiffness by way of 48.55% and to diminish the stress by 10.35% and most stiffer.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In a reciprocating piston engine, the connecting rod connects the piston to the crank or crankshaft. In modern automotive internal combustion engines, the connecting rods are most usually made of steel for production engines, but can be made of aluminum (for lightness and the ability to absorb high impact at the expense of durability) or titanium (for a combination of strength and lightness at the expense of affordability) for high performance engines, or of cast iron for applications such as motor scooters. The small end attaches to the piston pin, gudgeon pin (the usual British term) or wrist pin, which is currently most often press fit into the con rod but can swivel in the piston, a "floating wrist pin" design. The connecting rod is under tremendous stress from the reciprocating load represented by the piston, actually stretching and being compressed with every rotation, and the load increases to the third power with increasing engine speed. Failure of a connecting rod, usually called "throwing a rod" is one of the most common causes of catastrophic engine failure in cars, frequently putting the broken rod through the side of the crankcase and thereby rendering the engine irreparable; it can result from fatigue near a physical defect in the rod, lubrication failure in a bearing due to faulty maintenance or from failure of the rod bolts from a defect, improper tightening, or re-use of already used (stressed) bolts where not recommended.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

Pushpendra Kumar Sharma et al. (2012) performed the static FEA of the connecting rod using the software and said optimization was performed to reduce weight. Weight can be reduced by changing the material of the current forged steel connecting rod to crack able forged steel (C70). And the software gives a view of stress distribution in the whole connecting rod which gives the information that which parts are to be hardened or given attention during manufacturing stage. [12]

K. Sudershn Kumar et al. (2012) analyzed Two Wheeler Connecting Rod. In this project connecting rod was replaced by Aluminum reinforced with Boron carbide for Suzuki GS150R motorbike. A 2D drawing was drafted from the calculations. A
parametric model of connecting rod was modeled using PRO-E 4.0 software.

Analysis was carried out by using ANSYS software. Finite element analysis of connecting rod was done by considering two materials, viz... Aluminum Reinforced with Boron Carbide and Aluminum 360. The best combination of parameters like Von misses stress and strain, Deformation, Factor of safety and weight reduction for two wheeler piston were done in ANSYS software. Compared to carbon steel, aluminum boron carbide and aluminum 360, Aluminum boron carbide is found to have working factor of safety is nearer to theoretical factor of safety, 33.17% to reduce the weight, to increase the stiffness by 48.55% and to reduce the stress by 10.35% and most stiffer. [7]

B. Anusha et al. (2013) compared the materials for Two Wheeler Connecting Rod Using Ansys. In the analysis two materials were selected and analyzed. The software results of two materials were compared and utilized for designing the connecting rod. By comparing the different results obtained from the analysis, it was concluded that the stress induced in the structural steel was less than the cast iron. [3]

G. Naga Malleshwara Rao et al. (2013) carried out analysis of connecting rod using ANSYS. The main objective of the work was to explore weight reduction opportunities in the connecting rod of an I.C. engine by examining various materials such as Genetic Steel, Aluminum, Titanium and Cast Iron. This was entailed by performing a detailed load analysis. Therefore, the study has dealt with two subjects, first, static load and stress analysis of the connecting rod and second. Design Optimization for suitable material to minimize the deflection.

In the first of the study the loads acting on the connecting rod as a function of time are obtained. The relations for obtaining the loads for the connecting rod at a given constant speed of crank shaft are also determined. It could be concluded from this study that the connecting rod could be designed and optimized under a comprising tensile load corresponding to 360° crank angle at the maximum engine speed as one extreme load, and the crank pressure as the other extreme load. Furthermore, the existing connecting rod could be replaced with a new connecting rod made of Genetic Steel. [6]

Kuldeep B et al. (2013) optimized connecting rod using alfasic composites. In the work connecting rod was replaced by aluminum based composite material reinforced with silicon carbide and fly ash. FEA analysis was carried out by considering two materials. The parameter like von misses stress, von misses strain and displacement was obtained from ANSYS software. Compared to the former material the new material found to have less weight and better stiffness. It resulted in reduction of 43.48% of weight, with 75% reduction in displacement. [8]

Ambrish Tiwari et al. (2014) presented the paper on connecting rod Finite Element Analysis for weight and cost reduction opportunities for a production of forged steel connecting rod. It was also performed a fatigue study based on Stress Life (SxN) theory, considering the Modified Goodman diagram. [2]

Table 1 shows the specifications of the connecting rod for carbon steel (Suzuki GS). The typical chemical composition of the material is 0.61%C, 0.095% Al, 0.82%Mn, 0.00097% Br, 0.145% C, 7.8Co, 75.56Fe and 3.25 Mo.

Table: Specifications of connecting rod

Available online: http://edupediapublications.org/journals/index.php/IJR/
III. THEORETICAL CALCULATIONS OF PISTON ROD

A connecting rod is a machine member which is subjected to alternating direct compressive and tensile forces. Since the compressive forces are much higher than the tensile force, therefore the cross-section of the connecting rod is designed as a strut and the Rankin formula is used. A connecting rod subjected to an axial load W may buckle with x-axis as neutral axis in the plane of motion of the connecting rod, {or} y-axis is a neutral axis. The connecting rod is considered like both ends hinged for buckling about x-axis and both ends fixed for buckling about y-axis. A connecting rod should be equally strong in buckling about either axis.

Let A = cross sectional area of the connecting rod.
L = length of the connecting rod.
C = compressive yield stress.
Wcr = crippling or buckling load.
Ixx = moment of inertia of the section about x-axis respectively.
Kxx = radius of gyration of the section about x-axis.
Kyy = radius of gyration of the section about y-axis respectively.
D = Diameter of piston
r = Radius of crank.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bore × Stroke (mm)</td>
<td>57×58.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Length of connecting rod</td>
<td>112 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Thickness of connecting rod</td>
<td>For C.S = 3.2mm For AL 360 = 4.1 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Width of connecting rod</td>
<td>For C.S = 12.8mm For AL 360 = 16.4 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Height of connecting rod</td>
<td>For C.S H1 =12mm H2 =17.6mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>For AL 360 H1 =18.45mm H2 =25.623mm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. PRESSURE CALCULATION FOR 150CC ENGINE Suzuki GS 150 R

Specifications
Engine type air cooled 4-stroke Bore × Stroke (mm) = 57×58.6
Displacement = 149.5CC
Maximum Power = 13.8bhp@8500rpm
Maximum Torque = 13.4Nm@6000rpm
Compression Ratio = 9.35/1
Density of Petrol C8H18 = 737.22kg/m3 = 737.22E-9kg/mm3
Temperature = 60F = 288.855K
Mass = Density × Volume = 737.22E-9×149.5E3 = 0.11Kg
Molecular Weight of Petrol 114.228 g/mole
From Gas Equation,
PV=Mrt R = R*/Mw = 8.3143/.114228 = 72.76 P
= (0.11x72.786x288.85) / 149.5E3 P = 15.469 Mpa.

B. DESIGN CALCULATION FOR CARBON STEEL

Thickness of flange & web of the section = t
Width of B= 4t
The standard dimension of Height of section H = 5t
Area of section A= 2(4t×t) +3t×t
A = 11t²
M.O.I of section about x axis:
Ixx = 112 [4t {5t} 3−3t {3t} 3]
= 41912[t4]
MI of section about y axis:
Iyy = 2×112×t×{4t}3+112{3t}t3
= 13112[t4]
Ixx/Iyy = 3.2
Length of connecting rod (L) = 2 times the stroke
L = 117.2 mm
Buckling load WB = maximum gas force × F.O.S
WB = (σc×A)(1+a (L/Kxx))2
= 37663N
σc= compressive yield stress = 415MPa
K xx = I xx/A
K xx = 1.78t
a = σcπr2E
a = 0.0002
By substituting σc, A, a, L, Kxx on WB then
= 4565t4-37663t2-81639.46 = 0
C. 2D Drawing for Connecting Rod

Stroke length (l) = 117.2mm
Diameter of piston (D) = 57mm
Stroke length (l) = 117.2mm
Diameter of piston (D) = 57mm
P = 15.5N/mm²
Radius of crank (r) = stroke length / 2
= 58.6 / 2
= 29.3
Maximum force on the piston due to pressure
F₁ = π4xD²xp
= π/4 x (57)²x15.469
= 39473.16N

Maximum angular speed \( \omega_{\text{max}} \) = \( [2\pi N_{\text{max}}]60 \)
= \( [2\pi \times 8500]60A = \pi r^2 \)
= 768 rad/sec
Ratio of the length of connecting rod to the radius of crank
N = \( l/r = 112/(29.3) = 3.8 \)
Maximum Inertia force of reciprocating parts
\( F_{\text{im}} = Mr (W_{\text{max}}) 2 r (\cos \theta + \cos 2\theta n) (\text{Or}) \)
\( F_{\text{im}} = Mr (W_{\text{max}}) 2 r (1^n) \)
= 0.11x (768)² x (0.0293) x (1+ (1/3.8))
= 2376.26N

Inner diameter of the small end \( d₁ = F_{\text{gPb}} \times l₁ \)
= 6277.16712.5 x 1.5d₁
= 17.94mm

Where,
Design bearing pressure for small end \( p₁ = 12.5 \) to 15.4N/mm²
Length of the piston pin \( l₁ = (1.5 \to 2) \) d₁
Outer diameter of the small end = \( d₁ + 2t_b + 2t_m \)
= 17.94 + [2 x 2] + [2 x 5]
= 31.94mm
Where,
Thickness of the bush \( t_b = 2 \) to 5 mm
Marginal thickness \( t_m = 5 \) to 15 mm
Inner diameter of the big end \( d₂ = F_{\text{gPb}} \times l₂ \)
= 6277.16710.8 x 1.0d₁
= 23.88mm
Where,
Design bearing pressure for big end \( p₂ = 10.8 \) to 12.6N/mm²
Length of the crank pin \( l₂ = (1.0 \to 1.25) \) d₂
Root diameter of the bolt = \( (2F_{\text{im}}/(\pi x S t))^{1/2} \)
= 4mm
Outer diameter of the big end = \( d₂ + 2t_b + 2d_b + 2t_m \)
= 23.88 + 2 x 2 + 2 x 4 x 5
= 47.72mm
Where,
Thickness of the bush \( t_b = 2 \) to 5 mm
Marginal thickness \( t_m = 5 \) to 15 mm
Nominal diameter of bolt \( d_b = 1.2 \times \) root diameter of the bolt
= 1.2 x 4 = 4.8mm

IV. STEPS IN MODELING OF CONNECTING ROD

Optimized Connecting Rod has been modeled with the help of PRO/E Wildfire 4.0 software. The Orthographic and Solid Model of optimized connecting rod is shown in figures below.
CAD Model of connecting rod in PRO Engineer.

The following is the list of steps that are used to create the required model:
1. Choose the reference plane.
2. Set the dimension in mm.
3. Go to sketcher and sketch circular entities.
4. Then extrude these entities for making the both ends of connecting rod.
5. Again reference plane is selected for shank of connecting rod.
6. Entities is made that should be tangential to both ends.
7. Extrude the entities symmetrically.
8. Plane is selected for making entities of groove.
9. Groove is made on the shank and mirrored for creating groove on both side.
10. Datum plane is selected for creating small holes on piston end then holes are made on the periphery of piston end.

V. RESULTS OF FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS AND COMPARISION WITH EXISTING RESULTS

In this study four cases of finite element models are analyzed. FEA for both tensile and compressive loads are conducted. Two cases are analyzed for each case, one with load applied at the crank end and restrained at the piston pin end, and the other with load applied at the piston pin end and restrained at the crank end. In the analysis carried out, the axial load was 4319 N (Gas Force) in both tension and compression. In addition to this the analysis carried out taking Buckling Load of 21598N. Finally the comparisons are done for optimization purpose.

The pressure constants for 4319 N are as follows used for applying Boundary Condition:

Compressive Loading:

Crank End: Po = 4319\/ (17.5 x 10.708 x \_3) = 13.31 MPa

Piston pin End: Po = 4319/ (7.8 x 14 x \_3) = 22.84 MPa

Tensile Loading:

Crank End: Po = 4319/ [17.5 x 10.708 x (\_/2)] = 14.68 MPa

Piston pin End: Po = 4319/ [7.8 x 14 x (\_/2)] = 25.18 MPa

Following are Figures shows the optimized results along with the results of figures in reference paper and comparison for static analysis of connecting rod at load 4319N.
Above figures shows the comparison of equivalent elastic strain at 4319N.

Table shows the result comparison for static analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. no.</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameters</td>
<td>Equivalent stress</td>
<td>Shear strain</td>
<td>Elastic strain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE result (4319N)</td>
<td>71.20</td>
<td>13.04</td>
<td>3.56e-4 mm/mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing result</td>
<td>76.22</td>
<td>16.66</td>
<td>3.8e-4 mm/mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE result (21598N)</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>66.32</td>
<td>1.81e-3 mm/mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing result</td>
<td>381.17</td>
<td>82.21</td>
<td>1.91e-3 mm/mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variation F(4319N)</td>
<td>6.58%</td>
<td>20.88%</td>
<td>6.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variation F(21598N)</td>
<td>5.02%</td>
<td>19.32%</td>
<td>5.23%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Following are Figures shows the optimized results along with the results of figures and comparison for static analysis of connecting rod at load 21598N.

Above figure shows the comparison of safety factor at 4319N.

Above figure shows the comparison of safety factor at 21598N.

Following are Figures shows the optimized results along with the results of figures in reference paper and comparison for fatigue analysis of connecting rod at load 21598N.

Above figure shows the comparison of safety factor at 4319N.

(1)
Above (1) and (2) figures shows the life at 4319N and 21598N. Above (3) and (4) figures shows the damage at 4319N and 21598N.

Table shows the comparison of Weight

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Original</th>
<th>Optimized</th>
<th>Weight reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>131.5g</td>
<td>126.73g</td>
<td>475g (3.62%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Above table shows the weight optimization of connecting rod, in existing model weight of connecting rod was 131.5g. After optimization weight of connecting rod is 126.73, the percentage weight reduction is 3.62.

VI. CONCLUSION

Finite element analysis of the connecting rod of a Suzuki GS150R has been finished using FEA device ANSYS Workbench. From the outcome received from FE evaluation, many discussions had been made. The results got are well in agreement with the equivalent available current consequence. The model provided right here, is good trustworthy and below permissible restrict of stresses.

1. Conclusion is based on the current work that the design parameter of connecting rod with change offers ample development in the present results.

2. The burden of the connecting rod can also be lowered by 0.477g. Thereby, reduces the inertia force.

3. Fatigue strength is the principal driving factor for the design of connecting rod and it's determined that the fatigue results are in good agreement with the present outcomes.

4. The stress is determined maximum on the piston finish so the material is improved within the stressed portion to shrink stress.
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