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Abstract—Reversible logic is gaining significant consideration as the potential logic design style for implementation in modern nanotechnology and quantum computing with minimal impact on physical entropy. Recent advances in reversible logic allow schemes for computer architectures using improved quantum computer algorithms. Significant contributions have been made in the literature towards the design of reversible logic gate structures and arithmetic units, however, there are not many efforts directed towards the design of reversible ALUs. In this work, a novel programmable reversible logic gate is presented and verified, and its implementation in the design of a reversible Arithmetic Logic Unit is demonstrated. Then, reversible implementations of ripple-carry, carry-select and Kogge-Stone carry look-ahead adders are analyzed and compared. Next, implementations of the Kogge-Stone adder with sparsity-4, 8 and 16 were designed, verified and compared. The enhanced sparsity-4 Kogge-Stone adder with ripple-carry adders was selected as the best design, and its implemented in the design of a 32-bit arithmetic logic unit is demonstrated.
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I. INTRODUCTION

LOGICAL computing devices without a bijection between input and output states were demonstrated by Landauer to require a
minimal heat generation of kTln(2) joules of energy per computing cycle [1]. This resulting dissipated heat also causes noise in the remaining circuitry, which results in computing errors. Bennett showed that the dissipated energy directly correlated to the number of lost bits, and that computers can be logically reversible, maintain their simplicity and provide accurate calculations at practical speeds [2]. Resultantly, a new paradigm in computer design arose with the goal of reducing the entropy increase and subsequent energy dissipation. Such a logical structure must possess the same number of inputs and outputs and a one-to-one mapping between the input and output states. Any device designed to these constraints is known as a reversible logic device.

Section II, we outline the goals of programmable reversible logic design and some fundamental logic gates. In Section III, a novel 5*5 programmable MG gate is proposed that may be utilized in an arithmetic logic unit requiring the calculation of AND, NAND, OR, NOR, XOR and XNOR results. In Section IV, previous work in programmable reversible arithmetic logic units is reviewed, and the proposed MG gate is implemented in the design of a novel programmable arithmetic logic unit. In Section V, reversible adder designs are presented for a reversible ripple carry adder, reversible carry-select adder and reversible carry look-ahead adder. A reversible implementation of the carry logic presented in the Kogge-Stone is proposed and verified. In Section VII, the methods of quantum cost reduction through combining ripple-carry, carry-select and carry look-ahead implementations of the reversible adder are analyzed, and the most effective ALU in terms of quantum cost and delay is selected.

II.

REVERSIBLE LOGIC

A. Programmable Reversible Design Goals

The three major design goals of reversible logic are as follows. First, minimization of the quantum cost – the number of 1*1 and 2*2 reversible calculations necessary to generate the logical output [6] - will reduce the device’s computational complexity. Second, minimization of the delay - the logical depth of the device [7] – will improve the throughput of the device. Third, reduction of the ancillary inputs and garbage outputs - inputs and outputs not implemented in the design of the gate and
only serve to maintain reversibility of the device – will improve the design space require to implement the logic. A programmable reversible logic gate is defined in [8] as a logic structure which possesses a bijection between input and output states and an equal number of inputs and outputs wherein a subset of the inputs are fixed select lines, and a fixed subset of the outputs produce guaranteed logical calculations. An ideal programmable reversible logic gate with \( j \) inputs and outputs has a quantity of fixed select inputs \( m \), fixed select outputs \( n \), data inputs \( d \) and propagated outputs \( p \) such that \( \left| - - - \right| \cdot \left| - - - \right| \) [8]. In addition, an ideal programmable reversible logic gate with \( m \) select inputs may produce at maximum \( \left| - - - \right| \) logical calculations on the \( n \) logical outputs [8].

**B. Fundamental Logic Gates**

There are three types of fundamental 2*2 reversible logic gates. First, the square-root-of-not gates utilize the unitary operators to produce reversible logic calculations. The Controlled-V and the Controlled-V+ gates are the two Types of square-root-of-not gates. In both of these gates, when the control input is 0, the second input is propagated to the output. The corresponding unitary operator is propagated to the second output when the control input is 1, where the unitary operation is

\[
V = \frac{i + 1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ -i & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

for the Controlled-V gate and

\[
V^+ = \frac{1}{i + 1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1/i \\ -1/i & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

for the Controlled-V+ gate. When two Controlled-V gates are activated in series, they act as an inverter. The same holds for two Controlled-V+ gates in series. When a Controlled-V and Controlled-V+ gate are activated in series, they act as an identity. The second type of fundamental 2*2 reversible logic gate is the Feynman gate, or the Controlled-Not gate. Proposed in [9] by Feynman, it is configured such that its outputs states correlate to the input states in the following manner: \( P = A \) and \( Q = A \oplus B \). The resulting value of the second output corresponds to the result of a conventional XOR gate. Since fanout is expressively forbidden in reversible logic, since a fanout has one input and two outputs, the Feynman gate may be used to duplicate a signal when \( B \) is equal to 0. Its quantum configuration is shown in Fig 2.
The third type of fundamental 2*2 reversible logic gate is the integrated qubit gate. This gate is implemented with a Feynman gate with either a Controlled-V or Controlled V+ gate. The XOR output of the Feynman gate is used as the control signal for the Controlled-V or V+ gate it is coupled with. The quantum cost of the integrated qubit gate is 1 and its worst-case delay is 1. The quantum configuration of these gates are shown below in Fig. 3.

There are three 3*3 fundamental reversible logic gates. The first was proposed in [10] by Fredkin and Toffoli. The Fredkin gate’s outputs states map to the inputs as follows:

\[ P = A, \quad \tilde{Q} = A'B' + A'C \quad \text{and} \quad R = AB + A' \]

Therefore, the outputs serve as a multiplexed output of the two data inputs based on the control input. It is realized using 2 Feynman gates, a Controlled-V gate and two integrated qubit gates. Toffoli proposed the second fundamental 3*3 reversible logic gate in [11]. The output states of the Toffoli gate map to the inputs in this manner: \( P = A, Q = B \quad \text{and} \quad R = AB + C \).

The quantum cost is 5 and the worst-case delay is 5. The quantum representation is shown below in Fig. 5.
The 3*3 Peres gate was proposed by Peres in [12]. The Peres gate has a quantum cost of 4 and a worst-case delay of 3. The quantum representation is shown in Fig. 6. The output states map to the inputs in this manner: \( P = A, \ Q = A \oplus B \) and \( R = AB \oplus C \).

The HNG gate, also designed by Hagparast and Navi and proposed in [13], is a full adder with inputs A,B,C,D producing outputs \( P = A, \ Q = B, \ R = (A \oplus B) \oplus C \) and \( S = (A \oplus B)C \oplus (A \oplus B) \oplus D \). and is shown in Fig. 6.

The Peres And-Or (PAOG) gate, proposed in [8], produces the outputs \( P = A, \ Q = A \oplus B, \ R = AB \oplus C \) and \( S = (AB \oplus C) \oplus ((A \oplus B) \oplus D) \). Fig. 7 shows the quantum representation of the PAOG gate. This gate is an extension of the Peres gate for ALU realization. When the PAOG is utilized as a programmable reversible logic gate with two select inputs, it will calculate four logical calculations on those two logical outputs: AND, NAND, NOR and OR.
The Morrison-Ranganathan (MRG) gate, proposed in [8], has a quantum cost of 6, since it consists of three XOR gates, 2 Controller-V and one Controller-V+ gate. The worst-case delay of the MRG gate is 6.

The quantum representation of the MRG gate is shown in Fig. 8 below. When the MRG is utilized as a programmable reversible logic gate with two select inputs, it will calculate four logical calculations on those two logical outputs: OR, NOR, XOR and XNOR.

III. PROPOSED 5*5 PROGRAMMABLE REVERSIBLE MG GATE

Next, we propose the design of a 5*5 programmable reversible logic gate structure utilized in the implementation of an ALU. Fig. 9 shows the block diagram of the MG, and the logical calculations based on the programmable inputs are presented in Table 2. The cost of the MG is 7, and the worst-case delay is 7. The design for the programmable MG was verified and simulated using VHDL in Xilinx 12.4.

IV. MODIFIED ALU DESIGN WITH MG GATE
is 24, and the worst-case delay is 16. For n-bit ALU devices, an addition cost of 2 is incurred per bit in order to propagate S1 and S2 to other bits. Therefore, the total cost for an n-bit ALU is 26n-2. The MG gate is utilized in the implementation of a novel arithmetic logic unit based on those proposed in [8]. The ALU, in addition to producing Two 1-bit ALUs were proposed in [8]. The first utilizes the MRG gate and HNG gate to produce six logical calculations: ADD, SUB, XOR, XNOR, OR and NOR. The ALU has 8 inputs and 8 outputs. The inputs consist of three data inputs (A, B and Cin) and five fixed input select lines. The eight outputs are: A, S0, S3 and S4 propagated to the output, A _$B, SUM, Cout, Overflow and Result. The cost of this 1-bit ALU the same logical calculations as the MG, is able to perform addition and subtraction by utilizing the HNG gate and store less-than operation. The cost an n-bit ALU is 37n-3 and had a worstcase delay of 4n+13. The proposed ALU is shown in Fig. 10, and the logical results based on the input opcodes are presented in Table 3. The design for the novel one-bit ALU was verified and simulated using VHDL in Xilinx 12.4.

![Fig. 10: Reversible ALU with MG and HNG gates](image)

| TABLE III |
| ALU OPCODES AND LOGICAL RESULT FOR FIG. 9 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S6</th>
<th>S5</th>
<th>S4</th>
<th>S3</th>
<th>S2</th>
<th>S1</th>
<th>S0</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>ADD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>SUB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$A+B$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$(A+B)'$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$A\oplus B$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$A= B$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$AB$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$SI$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. REVERSIBLE ADDER DESIGNS AND COMPARISON
A. Design of Reversible Ripple-Carry and Carry-Select Adders
In order to design the most efficient 32-bit reversible arithmetic logic unit, we designed and compared reversible implementation of ripple-carry, carry-select and carry lookahead adders. A reversible ripple-carry adder and a reversible carry-select adder are designed using the new ALU. The ripple-carry adder has a cost of 40n-3 and a delay of 4n + 13. The most-significant bit of the ALU ties the Sum output to the SLT input of the least significant bit, and the SLT input for all other bits is 0. The reversible carry-select adder uses the carry out of the first n/2 bits as the control signal to a Fredkin gate implemented as a multiplexer, since the next n/2 bits are calculated with both a carry-in of 0 and a carry-in of 1, which requires a cost of 40(3n/2)-3 and a delay of 2n + 19.

B. Reversible Kogge-Stone Cumulate Logic
Next, a reversible carry look-ahead adder based on the Kogge-Stone adder is presented [9]. First, a RKS Cumulate utilized in the calculation of the carry out signal is designed and verified. The logical structure of the RKSC is shown in Fig. 11. The cost of the RKSC is 14 and it has a worst-case delay of 4. A cost and delay analysis of the presented adders in the ALU implementation is presented in Table 4.

C. Comparison of Reversible Ripple-Carry and Carry-Select Adders with Sparsity
The ripple-carry adder has the lowest cost, but the highest delay. The carry look-ahead adder has a prohibitively-high cost, but the most-desirable delay.

The ripple-carry adder has the lowest cost, but the highest delay. The carry look-ahead adder has a prohibitively-high cost, but the most-desirable delay. The Kogge-Stone adder may be enhanced to reduce overhead and design complexity by generating a carry every n-bits instead of every bit, and the carry is used for the
carryin of an n-bit ripple-carry or carry-select adder. The number n is defined as sparsity. This implementation was designed and tested for sparsity-4, 8 and 16 with the corresponding n-bit ripple-carry and carry-select adders. The cost and delay comparison of each implementation is shown in Table 5.

The design for each adder presented in Table 5 was verified using VHDL in Xilinx 12.4.

VI. REVERSIBLE CARRY LOOK-AHEAD DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION IN A REVERSIBLE ALU

A 32-bit reversible ALU utilizing a sparsity-4 carry lookahead, adder implemented with ripple-carry has a cost of 1656 and a delay of 59. A 32-bit ALU utilizing a sparsity-8 carry look-ahead, adder implemented with carry-select has a cost of 1568 and a delay of 64. The carry-save implementation represents a 5.3% improvement in cost over the ripple-carry implementation, while the ripple-carry produces a 7.8% improvement in delay. Therefore, the modified carry look-ahead adder with sparsity-4 implemented with 4-bit ripple-carry addition is ideal for implementation in the reversible arithmetic logic unit. A 4-bit implementation of this adder is shown in Fig. 12 below. The figure shows the propagate and generate signal logic for any adder which is not the most-significant 4-bits or the least significant 4-bits of adder. The least-significant adder is different in that the LSB does not have input propagate or generate signals, so the RKSCs are not necessary. The most-significant adder is different in that it does not need to generate any future propagate or generate signals other than the initial carry.
Therefore, the HNG gates for the second, third and fourth bit which produce the propagate and generate signals are not required, nor is the RKSC for the third bit. The design for the entire 32-bit ALU was verified and simulated using VHDL in Xilinx 12.4.

VII. CONCLUSION

A novel 5*5 programmable MG gate was proposed and verified that may calculate of AND, NAND, OR, NOR, XOR and XNOR depending on the inputs from the programmer. The proposed MG gate was implemented in the design of a novel programmable arithmetic logic unit, and its design compared to previous work in programmable ALU design. The novel 1-bit ALU required only minimal increase in quantum cost and delay due to the MG design, which also allowed for increased functionality for the programmer. Next, we presented reversible implementations of ripple carry adder, reversible carry-select adder and reversible carry look-ahead adder. A reversible implementation of the carry logic presented in the Kogge-Stone was presented and verified. Using these designs, modified Kogge-Stone implementations of these adders were compared in terms of quantum cost and delay. The modified Kogge-Stone carry look-ahead adder with sparsity-4 implemented with 4-bit ripple-carry addition was determined to be the ideal design implementation in the reversible arithmetic logic unit. This adder was then implemented with the presented one-bit ALU to produce an efficient 32-bit ALU capable of addition, subtraction and all of the functions of the programmable MG.
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